Dr. O. P. Raman Deft. of Mathematics

For T.D.C. Part III
Paper-5
GT-A

Ex.4. Show that the simultaneous limit exists at the origin but the repeated limits do not exist for the function defined by  $f(x, y) = x \sin \frac{1}{y} + y \sin \frac{1}{x}$ ,  $xy \neq 0$  and f(0, 0) = 0.

Soln. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given.

Here 
$$\left|x \sin \frac{1}{y} + y \sin \frac{1}{x} - 0\right| \le |x| + |y|$$
; since  $\left|\sin \frac{1}{x}\right| \le 1$ ,  $\left|\sin \frac{1}{y}\right| \le 1$   

$$= 2\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} < \varepsilon; : |x| = \sqrt{x^2} < \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$$
if  $x^2 < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4}$ ,  $y^2 < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4}$  i.e., if  $|x| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \delta$ ,  $|y| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \delta$ .

Thus given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\left| x \sin \frac{1}{y} + y \sin \frac{1}{x} \right| < \varepsilon$$
, whenever  $|x| < \delta$ ,  $|y| < \delta$ .

 $\therefore \quad \text{Lt}_{(x, y) \to (0, 0)} \left\{ x \sin \frac{1}{y} + y \sin \frac{1}{x} \right\} = 0. \quad \text{Thus the double limit exists at } (0, 0)$ 

But Since him f(x,y) & lim f(x,y) do not exist, therefore the about limits lim lim f(x,y) & lim lim f(x,y) also do not exist. repeated limits lim lim f(x,y) also do not exist.

Sufficient condition for the existence of Max of Min Value for the fundion of Sufficient conditions: Let f(x, y) be a function of two variables x and y.

Let us denote  $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}$  at (a, b) by r,  $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}$  or  $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}$  at (a, b) by s; and  $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}$  at (a, b) by t.

We are going to show that if  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = 0$ ,  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 0$  at (a, b) and higher partial derivatives eximing the neighbourhood of (a, b), then f(x, y) will have

(i) a maximum m(a, b) if r < 0 and  $r - x^2 > 0$ .

m(a,b) if r>0 and  $rr-s^2>0$ .

perimer a maximum nor a minimum at (a, b) if  $n - s^2 < 0$  and  $r \neq 0$ ;

 $m = s^2 = 0$ , the case is doubtful and needs further consideration.

be noted that if  $rr - s^2 > 0$ , then  $r \neq 0$ . (for in that case  $-s^2$  becomes > 0, which is

with remainder after three terms), we obtain

Taylor's theorem (with remainder after three terms), we obtain
$$f(a+b,b+k) = f(a,b) + \left(h \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + k \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) f(a,b) + \frac{1}{2!} \left(h \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + k \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)^2 f(a,b) + \frac{1}{3!} \left(h \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + k \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)^3 f(a+\theta h,b+\theta k) \text{ where } 0 < \theta < 1$$

$$= f(a,b) + 0 + \frac{1}{2!} \left\{h^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + 2hk \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} + k^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}\right\} f(a,b) + \rho,$$
since  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(a,b) = 0, \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(a,b) = 0$ 

and where p is of third degree in h and k.

and where 
$$\rho$$
 is of third degree in  $h$  and  $k$ .

$$= f(a+h,b+k) - f(a,b)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2!} \left\{ h^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} f(a,b) + 2hk \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} f(a,b) + k^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} f(a,b) \right\} + \rho$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ h^2 r + 2hks + k^2 t \right\} + \rho.$$

Obviously for sufficiently small values of h, k the sign of f(a + h, b + k) - f(a, b) is determined by  $\frac{1}{2} \{h^2r + 2hks + k^2t\}$  i.e., by  $h^2r + 2hks + k^2t$ .

In other words,  $h^2r + 2hks + k^2t$  is the dominant expression.

Now, ignoring higher powers of h and k and hence p, we have approximately

f(a + h, b + k) - f(a, b) = 
$$\frac{1}{2}(h^2r + 2hks + k^2t)$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{2r}(h^2r^2 + 2hkrs + k^2rt)$   
=  $\frac{1}{2r}\{(hr + ks)^2 + (rt - s^2)k^2\}$ 

Now, we discuss the sign of f(a+h,b+k)-f(a,b) vis-a-vis the sign of

ss the sign of 
$$f$$
  

$$\frac{1}{2r} \{ (hr + ks)^2 + (rt - s^2)k^2 \}.$$

Accordingly, we discuss the following three cases.

exter

Since  $n-s^2>0$ , we find that  $(rh+ks)^2+(rt-s^2)k^2$  is always positive, except when +sk = 0, k = 0, i.e., when h = 0, k = 0.

same sign as that of r Thus, we see that  $rh^2 + 2shk$ Thus f(a+h, b+k) - f(a, b) > 0 if r > 0

and f(a+h, b+k) - f(a, b) < 0 if r < 0.

That is, f(a, b) will be a minimum if r > 0,

and f(a, b) will be a maximum if r < 0.

Hence, if  $rt - s^2 > 0$  and if

(i) r < 0, f(x, y) will be a maximum at (a, b)

(ii) r > 0, f(x, y) will be a minimum at (a, b).

Case II. Let  $rt - s^2 < 0$ .

Firstly, we suppose that  $r \neq 0$ .

We write  $rh^2 + 2shk + tk^2 = \frac{1}{s} \{ (rh + sk)^2 + (rt - s^2)k^2 \}.$ 

Since  $rt - s^2 < 0$ , we see that this expression sometimes takes up positive signs except when k = 0 and rh + sk = 0. sometimes takes up negative signs except when k = 0 and rh + sk = 0.

Thus in this case f(a, b) is not an extreme value.

The proof is similar when  $t \neq 0$ .

In case, r = 0 as well as t = 0, we have  $rh^2 + 2shk + tk^2 = 2shk$  so that the expression assumes values with different signs and consequently f(a, b) is not an extreme value,

Case III. Let  $rt - s^2 = 0$ .

Suppose that  $r \neq 0$ .

Then we have  $rh^2 + 2shk + tk^2 = \frac{1}{r}(rh + sk)^2 + (rt - s^2)k^2 = \frac{(rh + sk)^2}{r}$ 

Here the expression  $rh^2 + 2shk + tk^2$  becomes zero when rh + sk = 0, so that the natural of the sign of f(a + h, b + k) - f(a, b) depends upon the consideration of  $\rho$ .

The case is, therefore doubtful.

If now r = 0, then because of the condition  $rt - s^2 = 0$ , we must have s = 0. Therefore  $a^2 + 2shk + tk^2 = tk^2$  so that the expression is zero when k = 0 whatever h may be. The case is again doubtful.

Examples of functions which possess partial derivatives but are not differential

**Ex.3.** Prove that the function  $f(x, y) = \sqrt{|xy|}$  is not differentiable at the point (0, 0).

Soln. We have,

$$f_x(0,0) = \underset{h \to 0}{\text{Lt}} \left[ \frac{f(0+h,0) - f(0,0)}{h} \right] = \underset{h \to 0}{\text{Lt}} \left[ \frac{0-0}{h} \right] = 0$$

$$f_y(0, 0) = \underset{k \to 0}{\text{Lt}} \left[ \frac{f(0, 0+k) - f(0, 0)}{k} \right] = \underset{k \to 0}{\text{Lt}} \left[ \frac{0 - 0}{k} \right] = 0.$$

Thus  $f_x$  and  $f_y$  both exist at the origin and have the value 0.

Now, we need to show that f(x, y) is not differentiable at (0, 0).

If f(x, y) were differentiable at (0, 0), then we should have

$$f(0+h, 0+k) - f(0, 0) = Ah + Bk + h\phi(h, k) + k\psi(h, k)$$

where 
$$A = f_x(0, 0) = 0$$
,  $B = f_y(0, 0) = 0$ 

and 
$$\lim_{\substack{h \to 0 \\ k \to 0}} \mathsf{Lt} \, \phi(h, \, k) = 0 = \lim_{\substack{h \to 0 \\ k \to 0}} \psi(h, \, k).$$

(1) gives 
$$\sqrt{|hk|} - 0 = h\phi(h, k) + k\psi(h, k)$$

which 
$$\Rightarrow$$
 Lt  $(h, k) \rightarrow (0, 0)$   $\sqrt{|hk|} =$  Lt  $[h\phi(h, k) + k\psi(h, k)]$ 

$$\Rightarrow \operatorname{Lt}_{(h, k) \to (0, 0)} \left[ \frac{(|hk|)^{1/2}}{h} \right] = \operatorname{Lt}_{(h, k) \to (0, 0)} \left[ \phi(h, k) + \frac{k}{h} \psi(h, k) \right]$$

Putting k = mh (so that as  $h \to 0$ ,  $k \to 0$ ) in (2), we get  $\lim_{(h, k) \to (0, 0)} [\lim_{k \to 0} [\lim_{$ 

which is not true since m may have any value not necessarily zero. Hence f(x, y) is not differentiable at (0, 0).

