

Crystal Field Stabilization Energy

In octahedral complexes, the d -orbitals of the central metal cation are split into two sets of different energy level (t_{2g} of lower energy and e_g of higher energy). The energy difference between these two is Δ_0 or $10 Dq$. The energy of t_{2g} set is lowered by $0.4 \Delta_0$ ($= 4 Dq$) while the energy of e_g is increased by $0.6 \Delta_0$ ($= 6 Dq$) relative to the hypothetical energy state. In other words we can say three of the d orbitals (i.e. t_{2g} orbitals) are stabilised by $0.4 \Delta_0$ per electron and two of the d -orbitals (e_g orbitals) are destabilised by $0.6 \Delta_0$ per electron. Thus energy of each electron in t_{2g} orbitals decreases by $-0.4 \Delta_0$ while in e_g orbitals increases by $+0.60 \Delta_0$. - and + signs indicate decrease and increase in the energy respectively.

For d^1 case, the electron occupies a t_{2g} orbital, which has an energy of $-0.4 \Delta_0$ relative to the barycentre of the d -orbitals. The complex can thus be said to be stabilized to the extent of $0.4 \Delta_0$ compared to the barycentre. This quantity is termed as crystal field stabilization energy.

Thus for d^1 complex, electronic configuration is $t_{2g}^1 e_g^0$

$$CFSE = -0.4 \times 1 \Delta_0 = -0.4 \Delta_0$$

For d^2 complex, electronic configuration is $t_{2g}^2 e_g^0$

$$CFSE = -0.4 \times 2 \Delta_0 = -0.8 \Delta_0$$

For d^3 complex, electronic configuration is $t_{2g}^3 e_g^0$

$$CFSE = -0.4 \times 3 \Delta_0 = -1.2 \Delta_0$$

For d^4 high spin complex, electronic configuration is $t_{2g}^3 e_g^1$

$$CFSE = [-0.4 \times 3 + 0.6 \times 1] \Delta_0 = -0.6 \Delta_0$$

Thus, in case of an octahedral complex with the configuration $t_{2g}^p e_g^q$, the crystal field stabilisation energy is given by,

$$CFSE = [-0.4 p + 0.6 q] \Delta_0$$

The CFSE may be defined as "The net energy of a complex which stabilised the complex relative to the hypothetical energy state." CFSE is a measure of the net energy of occupation of the d -orbital relative to their mean energy (or hypothetical energy).

CFSE for d^1 to d^9 for HS and LS octahedral complexes is given in the table:

d	Configuration	Weak field ($\Delta_0 < P$)	Configuration	Strong field ($\Delta_0 > P$)
		CFSE		CFSE
d^1	t_{2g}^1	$-0.4 \times 1 \Delta_0 = -0.4 \Delta_0$	t_{2g}^1	$-0.4 \times 1 \Delta_0 = -0.4 \Delta_0$
d^2	t_{2g}^2	$-0.4 \times 2 \Delta_0 = -0.8 \Delta_0$	t_{2g}^2	$-0.4 \times 2 \Delta_0 = -0.8 \Delta_0$
d^3	t_{2g}^3	$-0.4 \times 3 \Delta_0 = -1.2 \Delta_0$	t_{2g}^3	$-0.4 \times 3 \Delta_0 = -1.2 \Delta_0$
d^4	$t_{2g}^3 e_g^1$	$[-0.4 \times 3 + 0.6 \times 1] \Delta_0 = -0.6 \Delta_0$	t_{2g}^4	$-0.4 \times 4 \Delta_0 = -1.6 \Delta_0$
d^5	$t_{2g}^3 e_g^2$	$[-0.4 \times 3 + 0.6 \times 2] \Delta_0 = 0.0 \Delta_0$	t_{2g}^5	$-0.4 \times 5 \Delta_0 = -2.0 \Delta_0$
d^6	$t_{2g}^4 e_g^2$	$[-0.4 \times 4 + 0.6 \times 2] \Delta_0 = -0.4 \Delta_0$	t_{2g}^6	$-0.4 \times 6 \Delta_0 = -2.4 \Delta_0$
d^7	$t_{2g}^5 e_g^2$	$[-0.4 \times 5 + 0.6 \times 2] \Delta_0 = -0.8 \Delta_0$	$t_{2g}^6 e_g^1$	$[-0.4 \times 6 + 0.6 \times 1] \Delta_0 = -1.8 \Delta_0$
d^8	$t_{2g}^6 e_g^2$	$[-0.4 \times 6 + 0.6 \times 2] \Delta_0 = -1.2 \Delta_0$	$t_{2g}^6 e_g^2$	$[-0.4 \times 6 + 0.6 \times 2] \Delta_0 = -1.2 \Delta_0$
d^9	$t_{2g}^6 e_g^3$	$[-0.4 \times 6 + 0.6 \times 3] \Delta_0 = -0.6 \Delta_0$	$t_{2g}^6 e_g^3$	$[-0.4 \times 6 + 0.6 \times 3] \Delta_0 = -0.6 \Delta_0$
d^0	$t_{2g}^6 e_g^4$	$[-0.4 \times 6 + 0.6 \times 4] \Delta_0 = 0.0 \Delta_0$	$t_{2g}^6 e_g^4$	$[-0.4 \times 6 + 0.6 \times 4] \Delta_0 = 0.0 \Delta_0$