

RINGS :-

Skew field :- A ring R with unit element having at least two elements is called a skew field if every non-zero element of R possesses their multiplicative inverse. The skew field is also known as division ring.

Notes :-

(i) We can define the field as a commutative division ring.

(ii) Every field is also a division ring.

(iii) A skew-field has no zero divisors.

Theorem :- Every finite integral domain is a field.

Proof :- Let D be a finite integral domain. Therefore by the definition of integral domain we have that D is a commutative ring with unit element having no zero divisors. Let $D = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$. In order to show that D is a field we only have to show that D has multiplicative inverse for every non-zero element in D . For this purpose let $a \neq 0$ be any arbitrary element of D and consider the set.

$$D_1 = \{aa_1, aa_2, \dots, aa_n\}$$

D_1 has n distinct products. For this let us suppose $aa_i = aa_j$ for $i \neq j$

$$\Rightarrow a(a_i - a_j) = 0 \quad (\text{By left distributive law})$$

(2)

Since \mathcal{D} has no zero divisors and $a \neq 0$
then

$$a_i - a_j = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j$$

$$\Rightarrow a_i = a_j \quad \text{for } i \neq j$$

\Rightarrow This is a contradiction because \mathcal{D}
has n distinct elements a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n .

Consequently \mathcal{D}_1 has n distinct products.
But the elements of \mathcal{D}_1 are the elements
of \mathcal{D} placed in some order. Further
 \mathcal{D} has unit element, that is

$$1 \in \mathcal{D}$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 \in \mathcal{D}_1$$

This implies that there exists an
element b in \mathcal{D} such that
 $ab = 1$.

But \mathcal{D} is commutative. Therefore
 $ab = 1 = ba$

Thus a^{-1} exists in \mathcal{D} . Hence \mathcal{D} is a field.