

Embedding of integral domain in field

(1)

Q. Prove that any integral domain can be embedded in a field.

or
Prove that a commutative ring without zero divisors can be embedded in a field

Let D be an integral domain. We form the Cartesian product $D \times (D - \{0\})$ such that

$$D \times (D - \{0\}) = \{(a, b) : a, b \in D \text{ and } b \neq 0\}$$

We now define a relation \sim on $D \times (D - \{0\})$ such that

$$(a, b) \sim (c, d) \iff ad = bc$$

We shall show that \sim is an equivalence relation

Let $(a, b), (c, d), (e, f) \in D \times (D - \{0\})$

(i) $(a, b) \sim (a, b)$, since $ab = ba$

$\therefore \sim$ is reflexive

(ii) $(a, b) \sim (c, d) \implies ad = bc$

$$\implies bc = ad$$

$$\implies cb = da$$

$$\implies (c, d) \sim (a, b)$$

$\therefore \sim$ is symmetric

(iii) $(a, b) \sim (c, d) \& (c, d) \sim (e, f) \implies ad = bc \& cf = de$

$$\implies adcf = bcde$$

$$\implies af = bc$$

$$\implies (a, b) \sim (e, f)$$

$\therefore \sim$ is transitive

Hence \sim is an equivalence relation.

This equivalence relation will partition the set $D \times (D - \{0\})$ into mutually disjoint classes.

Let $[a, b]$ be the equivalence class of $(a, b) \in D \times (D - \{0\})$ and F be the set of all equivalence classes.

Now by suitable definitions of addition & multiplication we shall make F a field.

We define $[a, b] + [c, d] = [ad + bc, bd]$

& $[a, b][c, d] = [ac, bd]$, $[a, b], [c, d] \in F$

From the above definitions it is clear that F is closed under addition & multiplication.

Before showing the other postulates for being a field we shall first show that addition & multiplication so defined are well defined.

Let $[a, b] = [a', b']$ & $[c, d] = [c', d']$

Then we have to prove that

$$[a, b] + [c, d] = [a', b'] + [c', d']$$

$$\& [a, b][c, d] = [a', b'][c', d']$$

Since we have $[a, b] = [a', b']$, therefore $ab' = ba'$ — (1)

& since $[c, d] = [c', d']$, therefore $cd' = dc'$ — (2)

$$\text{Now, } (ad + bc)b'd' = adb'd' + bcb'd' = ab'dd' + bb'cd'$$

$$= ba'dd' + bb'dc' \quad [\text{from (1) \& (2)}]$$

$$= bda'd' + bdb'c'$$

$$= bd(a'd' + b'c')$$

$$\therefore [ad + bc, bd] = [a'd' + b'c', b'd'] \quad [\text{As in (1) \& (2)}]$$

$$\therefore [a, b] + [c, d] = [a', b'] + [c', d']$$

i.e. addition is well defined.

$$\text{Again, } acb'd' = ab'cd' = ba'dc' \quad [\text{from (1) \& (2)}]$$

$$= bda'c'$$

$$\therefore [ac, bd] = [a'c', b'd']$$

$$\therefore [a, b][c, d] = [a', b'][c', d']$$

i.e. multiplication is well defined.

Let $[a, b], [c, d], [e, f] \in F$

Associative law for addition

$$([a, b] + [c, d]) + [e, f] = [ad + bc, bd] + [e, f]$$

$$= [(ad + bc)f + (bd)e, (bd)f]$$

$$= [adf + bcf + bde, bdf]$$

$$\text{Also, } [a, b] + ([c, d] + [e, f]) = [a, b] + [cf + de, df]$$

$$= [a(df) + b(cf + de), b(df)]$$

$$= [adf + bcf + bde, bdf]$$

$\therefore ([a, b] + [c, d]) + [e, f] = [a, b] + ([c, d] + [e, f])$

i.e. associative law for addition holds.

Existence of zero element

There exists a zero element $[0, 1] \in F$ such that

$$[a, b] + [0, 1] = [a + b \cdot 0, b] = [a, b] \\ = [0, 1] + [a, b]$$

Existence of additive inverse

for every element $[a, b] \in F$ there exists inverse element $[-a, b] \in F$ such that

$$[a, b] + [-a, b] = [a + (-b), b] = [a - b, b] = [0, b] = [0, 1], \\ = [-a, b] + [a, b] \quad \text{since } (0, b) \sim (0, 1)$$

Commutative law for addition

$$[a, b] + [c, d] = [a + c, b + d] = [c + a, b + d] = [c, d] + [a, b]$$

i.e. commutative law for addition holds

Associative law for multiplication

$$([a, b][c, d])[e, f] = [ac, bd][e, f] = [ace, bdf] \\ \text{Also, } [a, b]([c, d][e, f]) = [a, b][ce, df] = [ace, bdf] \\ \text{i.e. Associative law for multiplication holds}$$

Existence of unity element

There exists an unity element $[1, 1] \in F$ such that

$$[a, b][1, 1] = [a \cdot 1, b \cdot 1] = [a, b] \\ = [1, 1][a, b]$$

Existence of multiplicative inverse

for every ^{non-zero} element $[a, b] \in F$ there exists an inverse element

$$[b, a] \in F \text{ such that } [a, b][b, a] = [ab, ba] = [1, 1], \text{ since } (ab, ba) \sim (1, 1)$$

Commutative law for multiplication

$$[a, b][c, d] = [ac, bd] = [ca, db] = [c, d][a, b]$$

i.e. commutative law for multiplication exists.

Distributive laws:

$$\begin{aligned}
[a, b] ([c, d] + [e, f]) &= [a, b] [cf + de, df] \\
&= [a(cf + de), b(df)] \\
&= [acf + ade, bdf]
\end{aligned}$$

Also, $[a, b][c, d] + [a, b][e, f] = [ac, bd] + [ae, bf]$
 $= [acb + bda, bdbf]$
 $= [acf + ade, bdf]$ $[\because (acb + bda, bdbf \sim (acf + ade, bdf))]$

$\therefore [a, b] ([c, d] + [e, f]) = [a, b][c, d] + [a, b][e, f]$

Similarly, $([c, d] + [e, f])[a, b] = [c, d][a, b] + [e, f][a, b]$
 i.e. distributive laws hold.

Thus F is a field.

Let D^* be a subset of F consisting of all elements of the form $[a, 1]$, $a \in D$. Clearly D^* is a subfield.

Let us define a mapping $\phi: D \rightarrow D^*$ such that $\phi(a) = [a, 1]$

ϕ is one-one, since $\phi(a) = \phi(b) \Rightarrow [a, 1] = [b, 1]$
 $\Rightarrow (a, 1) \sim (b, 1)$
 $\Rightarrow a1 = 1b$
 $\Rightarrow a = b$

ϕ is onto, since every element $[a, 1] \in D^*$ is the image of every element $a \in D$ under the mapping ϕ .

ϕ is homomorphism, since $\phi(a+b) = [a+b, 1]$
 $= [a, 1] + [b, 1]$
 $= \phi(a) + \phi(b)$

$\& \phi(ab) = [ab, 1]$
 $= [a, 1][b, 1]$
 $= \phi(a)\phi(b)$

$\therefore D$ is isomorphic to D^*

Thus the integral domain D is embedded in the field F .