

# RINGS

(1)

## RINGS WITH AND WITHOUT ZERO DIVISORS

zero divisors :- By the elementary property of a ring we know that if  $0$  is an additive identity in  $R$  (a ring) then  $0a = 0 = 0a \forall a \in R$ . But in some Rings it is possible that  $ab=0$  when neither  $a=0$  nor  $b=0$ . Then such type of elements  $a$  and  $b$  are called zero divisors.

Ring with zero divisors :- A ring  $R$  is said to be ring with zero divisor if there exist non-zero elements  $a, b$  in  $R$  such that  $ab=0$ , that is, if  $a \neq 0$ ,  $b \neq 0$  but  $ab=0$ .

For Example :- The set  $M$  of all matrices of order  $2 \times 2$  having their elements as integers forms a ring with zero divisors under addition and multiplication of matrices, that is,

$$\text{if } A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, 0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

then  $AB = 0$  but  $A \neq 0$  and  $B \neq 0$

## Ring without zero divisors (2)

A ring  $R$  is said to be ring without zero divisor if  $ab=0$ , then either  $a=0$  or  $b=0$ .

For Example :- The ring of integers is a ring without zero divisors.

### CANCELLATION LAWS IN A RING

Definition :- Let  $R$  be a ring and  $a, b, c \in R$  : for  $a \neq 0$ , if  $ab = ac$  then  $b = c$ , and for  $a \neq 0$ , if  $ba = ca$  then  $b = c$ . The first is known as left Cancellation law while the second is known as right Cancellation law.

Theorem :- A ring  $R$  is without zero divisors if and only if the Cancellation laws holds in  $R$ .

Proof :- Suppose the ring  $R$  is without zero divisors, then we shall have to show that the Cancellation laws hold in  $R$ .

Let  $a \in R$  and  $a \neq 0$  and we have

$$\begin{aligned} ab &= ac \\ \Rightarrow ab - ac &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow a(b - c) &= 0 \quad (\text{By left distributive law}) \\ \Rightarrow b - c &= 0 \quad (\because R \text{ is of without zero divisor}) \\ \Rightarrow b &= c \end{aligned}$$

Similarly  $ba = ca$

$$\Rightarrow ba - ca = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (b-c)a = 0 \quad (\text{By right distributive law})$$

$$\Rightarrow b - c = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow b - c = 0 \quad (\because R \text{ is without zero divisor})$$

$$\Rightarrow b = c$$

Conversely, suppose cancellation laws hold in  $R$ , then we have to show that  $R$  is without zero divisor. Let us assume  $R$  is with zero divisor, that is

$$ab = 0, \text{ with } a \neq 0, b \neq 0$$

$$\therefore ab = a0 \quad (\because a0 = 0)$$

$$\Rightarrow b = 0 \quad (\text{By right cancellation law})$$

This gives again contradiction. Hence  $R$  is without zero divisors.